

Elliptic Three-folds I: Ogg-Shafarevich Theory.

Igor Dolgachev^{*} and Mark Gross^{**}

Revised version, April, 1993.

Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1003

§0. Introduction

Let S be a variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, with function field K , and let A be an elliptic curve over K . The Weil-Châtelet group of A , $WC(A)$, is the set of principal homogeneous spaces (torsors) of A over K , i.e. isomorphism classes of curves of genus 1 over K which have A as their jacobian. This classifies, up to birational equivalence, elliptic fibrations over the variety S with the same jacobian. Ogg-Shafarevich theory was developed independently in [22] and [25] to calculate this group in the case that S is a curve. In this paper, we develop certain aspects of this theory in higher dimensions.

In §1, we define the Tate-Shafarevich group, which is the subset of $WC(A)$, $\text{III}_S(A)$, defined as follows. Let $E \in WC(A)$ and let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic fibration with generic fibre equal to E . Then $E \in \text{III}_S(A)$ if for all $s \in S$ there exists an étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow S$ of s such that $X \times_S U \rightarrow U$ has a rational section. Thus, in particular, f does not have multiple fibres in codimension one. We show how to calculate $\text{III}_S(A)$ when we have a model $f : X \rightarrow S$ of some torsor E of A where X and S are smooth and has fibres only of dimension one. We relate $\text{III}_S(A)$ to the Brauer group of X and S .

In §2 we consider several different questions. First, we discuss when one can find a suitable model as above to calculate the Tate-Shafarevich group. Secondly, we wish to refine our interpretation of the Tate-Shafarevich group by giving conditions for when an element $E \in \text{III}_S(A)$ has a model $f : X \rightarrow S$ which has no isolated multiple fibres whatsoever. For the first question, we can construct a model for A over a base which might have to be a blowup of the original base, following [17], to obtain a so-called Miranda model which satisfies the required hypotheses to calculate the Tate-Shafarevich group. For the second question, it turns out that as long as A has a Miranda model over S , any element

^{*} *This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.*

^{**} *This material is based upon work supported by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization under a Grant awarded in 1990.*

$E \in \text{III}_S(A)$ has a model where the possible isolated multiple fibres are severely restricted and can be understood. We apply Mori's theory of minimal models in this analysis. Thus we obtain an interpretation of the Tate-Shafarevich group in terms of multiple fibres. However, one cannot be sure that even if $E \in \text{III}_S(A)$, one can find a model $f : X \rightarrow S$ of E with no isolated multiple fibres over certain singular points of the discriminant locus. Modulo this possibility, which only occurs at collisions of Kodaira fibre type $IV + I_0^*$, if $Z \subseteq S$ is a closed subset, then $\text{III}_{S-Z}(A)/\text{III}_S(A)$ should give us the new elliptic fibrations having multiple fibres only along Z .

In §3 and §4, we obtain information about this quotient in special situations. In §3 we study the case that S is strictly local of dimension 2 and Z is the closed point. Thus we classify possibilities for fibrations over S with isolated multiple fibres which don't have a rational section. We find that an elliptic threefold can indeed have such isolated multiple fibres, but these can only occur at collision points between two different components of the discriminant locus. In particular, if we only allow those sorts of collisions which may occur in Miranda models, we find that isolated multiple fibres can only occur at collisions of Kodaira fibre type $I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}^*$, with M_1 even, and $I_0^* + III$. This coincides with local calculations performed using different techniques in [21].

In §4, we study a similar situation where Z is a curve. This question will be considered in more detail in the sequel to this paper. ([9]) We easily reproduce further local calculations in [21], and also obtain some global results. In particular, we obtain a global result of the following nature: if $Z \subseteq S$ is a complete irreducible curve intersecting the discriminant locus transversally (in particular the intersection is non-empty,) and $f^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow Z$ is an elliptic surface with all fibres irreducible, then $\text{III}_{S-Z}(A) \cong \text{III}_S(A)$. Thus it is impossible to perform a 'logarithmic transformation' along Z .

Finally, in §5, we apply our results to give a new example of a unirational variety which is not rational by showing its Brauer group is $\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$. This appears to be the first example of a unirational three-fold with three-torsion in its Brauer group. We construct this example by taking a general net of cubics S in $\mathbf{P}^2$ and considering the incidence variety X of this net in $S \times \mathbf{P}^2$. The morphism $X \rightarrow S$ is then an elliptic fibration without a section, and we can take its jacobian, $J \rightarrow S$. One then finds that the Brauer group of J is $\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$, and J is unirational. Using the same technique with a net of elliptic curves on $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$, one recovers the example of Artin-Mumford [2].

We would like to thank Y. Kawamata, R. Miranda and A. Verra for their useful remarks concerning our work. We also thank the referee for his helpful comments.

We adopt the following notation:

S : a normal integral excellent scheme. In §1, we impose no further restrictions, but in §2-4, we assume S is a variety of finite type over an

algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, or else an open subscheme of a strict localization of such a variety.

$S^{(n)}$: the set of points in S of codimension n , i.e. such that

$\dim \mathcal{O}_{S,s} = n$.

η : the generic point of S .

$i : \eta \rightarrow S$: the natural inclusion morphism.

$K = K(S)$: the field of rational functions on S .

A : an abelian variety of dimension 1 over K .

$\mathcal{O}_{S,\bar{s}}$: the strict henselization of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$.

$K_{\bar{s}}$: the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}_{S,\bar{s}}$.

$\eta_{\bar{s}}$: $\text{Spec } K_{\bar{s}}$

$A(\bar{s}) = A \times_{\eta} \eta_{\bar{s}}$.

$k(s)$: the residue field of a point $s \in S$.

${}_n G$: the elements of an abelian group G killed by multiplication by n .

G_{tors} : the subgroup of torsion elements of an abelian group G .

All sheaves will be in the étale topology, and all cohomology will be étale unless otherwise stated. We use [16] as a basic reference for étale cohomology and follow its notation.

§1. The Tate-Shafarevich Group.

We shall identify A with the sheaf in the étale topology of η which it represents. The group

$$WC(A) = H^1(\eta, A) = H^1(\text{Gal}(K_{sep}/K), A(K_{sep}))$$

is called the Weil-Châtelet group of A , where K_{sep} is the separable closure of K . This is the group of isomorphism classes of torsors (i.e. principal homogeneous spaces) of A over K . Each such torsor is a smooth curve E of genus 1 over K which has A as its Jacobian $Jac(E)$. It can be identified with its Picard scheme $Pic(E)^n$ representing locally free sheaves of some degree n . The group scheme $A = Jac(E) = Pic(E)^0$ acts on $Pic(E)^n$ by translations. A torsor E is trivial, i.e. $E \cong A$, if and only if $E(K) \neq \emptyset$.

For any $s \in S$, closed or not, there is a natural specialization map

$$loc_{\bar{s}} : WC(A) \rightarrow WC(A(\bar{s})),$$

taking the class of E to the class of $E \times_K K_{\bar{s}}$. The *(geometric) Tate-Shafarevich group*, $\text{III}_S(A)$, is defined by setting

$$\text{III}_S(A) = \bigcap_{s \in S} \ker(loc_{\bar{s}}).$$

This consists of locally trivial torsors, that is, all $E \in WC(A)$ such that $E(K_{\bar{s}}) \neq \phi$ for all $s \in S$. There is a standard cohomological interpretation of this group, identical to that in dimension 1. (See, for example, [10] III (4.44).) It is obtained by looking at the five-term exact sequence coming from the Leray spectral sequence for the sheaf A on η and the inclusion morphism $i : \eta \rightarrow S$:

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(S, i_* A) \rightarrow H^1(\eta, A) \rightarrow H^0(S, R^1 i_* A) \rightarrow H^2(S, i_* A) \rightarrow H^2(\eta, A).$$

Now, for any $s \in S$, the geometric stalk $(R^1 i_* A)_{\bar{s}}$ is isomorphic to $H^1(\eta_{\bar{s}}, A(\bar{s}))$, and the natural homomorphism

$$H^0(S, R^1 i_* A) \rightarrow \prod_{s \in S} (R^1 i_* A)_{\bar{s}}$$

is injective by, say, [16, II Prop. 2.10]. The composition $H^1(\eta, A) \rightarrow H^0(S, R^1 i_* A) \rightarrow (R^1 i_* A)_{\bar{s}}$ coincides with the map $loc_{\bar{s}}$, so we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(S, i_* A) \rightarrow WC(A) \rightarrow \prod_{s \in S} WC(A(\bar{s})).$$

Thus we have

$$(2) \quad \text{III}_S(A) = H^1(S, i_* A).$$

An element $E \in WC(A)$ maps to 0 in $WC(A(\bar{s}))$ if and only if there exists an irreducible étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow S$ of s with field of rational functions $K(U)$ such that $E \times_K K(U)$ has a rational point over $K(U)$. Indeed, if the image of E in $(R^1 i_* A)_{\bar{s}}$ is zero, that means that there is an étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow S$ of s such that the image of E in $H^1(K(U), E \times_K K(U))$ is zero, hence $E \times_K K(U)$ has a rational point over $K(U)$.

One might also consider the arithmetic Tate-Shafarevich group. This is defined as above by replacing strict henselizations with henselizations: i.e., if $s \in S$, there is a natural map

$$loc_s : WC(A) \rightarrow WC(A(s))$$

where $A(s) = A \times_{\eta} \eta_s$, with η_s the field of fractions of the henselization of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. The arithmetic Tate-Shafarevich group is defined as

$$\text{III}_S^{\text{arith}}(A) = \bigcap_{s \in S - \{\eta\}} \ker(loc_s).$$

If $E \in \text{III}_S^{\text{arith}}(A)$, then for all $s \in S - \{\eta\}$, there is an étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow S$ of s , with $u \in U$ mapping to $s \in S$ so that $k(s) = k(u)$, and $E \times_K K(U)$ has a rational point. This group, however, is too small to be of interest geometrically. We wish to classify elliptic

fibrations without multiple fibres, which are those fibrations having sections locally in the étale topology. These appear in the geometric Tate-Shafarevich group, but not necessarily in the arithmetic Tate-Shafarevich group. We discuss the relationship between multiple fibres and the Tate-Shafarevich group in §2.

Now our goal in this section is to compute the (geometric) Tate-Shafarevich group by using a flat projective model $f : X \rightarrow S$ with regular X and S , with the generic fibre isomorphic to E for some $E \in WC(A)$. If $\dim S = 1$, then by the theory of minimal models of surfaces, there exists a unique, non-singular X which is minimal over S . We have no such canonical choice in higher dimensions, but in §2, we shall discuss good choices if $\dim S = 2$. We need

Definition 1.1. *Let $E \in WC(A)$. $f : X \rightarrow S$ is called a good model for E if $X_\eta \cong E$, and f is flat and proper with X and S integral and regular.*

By assuming resolution of singularities (say $\text{char } k = 0$), one can always find a model $f : X \rightarrow S$ with $X_\eta \cong E$, f proper, and X and S integral and regular. By [11], IV, 15.4.2, if X and S are regular, then flatness is equivalent to the fibres of f being equidimensional. There is no reason in general to expect the existence of such a flat model for a fixed S .

We now follow here the calculation of $H^1(S, i_*A)$ in [10] III §4, generalizing to arbitrary dimension.

As in the theory where $\dim S = 1$ we will compare $H^1(S, i_*A)$ with information coming from the cohomological Brauer groups of X and S . Recall that for any scheme Z the latter is defined by setting $\text{Br}'(Z) = H^2(Z, \mathbf{G}_m)$. The Brauer group $\text{Br}(Z)$ is the group of equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras over Z , and there is a canonical injection $\text{Br}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(Z)_{\text{tors}}$. The two groups coincide for example when Z is the spectrum of a local Henselian ring or is a regular scheme of dimension ≤ 2 . [10] and [16] are basic references here.

Proposition 1.2. *If Z is a noetherian scheme whose strictly local rings are factorial (e.g. if Z is regular) then $H^q(Z, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is torsion for $q \geq 2$.*

Proof. See [10], II Prop. 1.4. •

Proposition 1.3. *If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism which is proper with fibres of dimension ≤ 1 with S strictly local, then $H^q(X, \mathbf{G}_m)_{\text{tors}} = 0$ for all $q \geq 3$, and ${}_n H^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0$ when n is prime to the residue field characteristics of X .*

Proof. This follows as in the proof of [10] III Cor. 3.2. •

Proposition 1.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a flat, projective morphism with one-dimensional fibres. Then*

$$(R^i f_* \mathbf{G}_m)_{tors} = 0, \quad \forall i > 1.$$

If in addition all the strictly local rings of X are factorial, then

$$R^i f_* \mathbf{G}_m = 0, \quad \forall i > 1.$$

Proof: Since $(R^i f_* \mathbf{G}_m)_{\bar{s}} = H^i(X(\bar{s}), \mathbf{G}_m)$, where $X(\bar{s}) = X \times_S \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$, we are reduced to Prop. 1.3, unless $i = 2$ and X has non-zero characteristic residue fields. When X is regular of dimension 2 the fact that $(R^2 f_* \mathbf{G}_m)_{tors} = 0$ is a well-known theorem of M. Artin. In this case $(R^2 f_* \mathbf{G}_m)_{tors} = R^2 f_* \mathbf{G}_m$. As was remarked by Grothendieck, [10] pg. 105, to extend it to our situation we need an extension of a theorem of Greenberg about approximation of Henselian discrete valuation rings and the equality $\text{Br}(X) = \text{Br}'(X)_{tors}$. The Artin Approximation Theorem [1] is the needed extension of Greenberg's theorem. Now the equality $\text{Br}(X) = \text{Br}'(X)_{tors}$ follows from the following result from [7]: $\text{Br}(Z) = \text{Br}'(Z)_{tors}$ if Z is the union of two open affine subschemes. Since we have to show that the geometric fibres of $R^i f_* \mathbf{G}_m$ are equal to zero for $i > 1$, we may assume that S is the spectrum of a strictly local Henselian ring. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a relatively very ample invertible sheaf on X . We can choose two divisors H and H' from the linear system $|\mathcal{L}|$ with no common zeroes on the fibre X_0 over the closed point of S . Then H and H' have no common points on the whole X (since the closure of a common point contains a point on X_0), and thus $U = X - \text{Supp}(H)$ and $U' = X - \text{Supp}(H')$ are affine over S , hence affine. Applying Gabber's theorem to $X = U \cup U'$, we obtain $\text{Br}(X) = \text{Br}'(X)_{tors}$. The theorem is proven. For a self-contained proof, see [7], pg. 195. •

Corollary 1.5. *If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a good model, then $f_* \mathbf{G}_{m,X} = \mathbf{G}_{m,S}$, and the Leray spectral sequence gives the exact sequence*

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow H^0(S, R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(S) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(X) \rightarrow H^1(S, R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_m) \\ \rightarrow H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^2(S, R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^4(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbf{G}_m). \end{aligned}$$

We define some important integers associated with a fibration $f : X \rightarrow S$.

Definition 1.6.

δ_η is the positive generator of the image of the degree map $\deg : \text{Pic}(X_\eta) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.

δ'_η is the minimal positive degree of an element of $\text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^G$ where $\bar{\eta} = \text{Spec } K_{sep}$ and $G = \text{Gal}(K_{sep}/K)$. One has $\delta'_\eta | \delta_\eta$.

δ_S is the smallest degree of a divisor on X_η whose closure in X is finite and flat over S .

δ_s for $s \in S$ is the positive generator of the image of the degree map $\deg : \text{Pic}(X(\bar{s})_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ where $X(\bar{s}) = X \times_S \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$. Note that if $\delta_s = 1$ then $E \times_{\eta} \eta_{\bar{s}} \cong A(\bar{s})$.

Lemma 1.7. *For all i , any element of $\ker(H^i(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbf{G}_m))$ is killed by δ_S .*

Proof: Let $S' \subseteq X$ be finite and flat over S , with degree over S equal to δ_S . We have a map $f'^* : H^i(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^i(S', \mathbf{G}_m)$, which is the composition of the homomorphisms

$$H^i(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^i(S', \mathbf{G}_m)$$

induced by the maps $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $S' \subseteq X$ respectively.

We also have the norm map, since S' is finite and flat over S ,

$$N : f'_* \mathbf{G}_{m, S'} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, S}$$

which induces a map on cohomology

$$f_* : H^i(S, f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, S'}) \rightarrow H^i(S, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}).$$

By the degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence for finite maps, we have

$$H^i(S, f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, S'}) = H^i(S', \mathbf{G}_{m, S'}).$$

The composition $f'_* f'^* : H^i(S, \mathbf{G}_{m, S}) \rightarrow H^i(S, \mathbf{G}_{m, S})$ is then just multiplication by δ_S , being the degree of S' over S . This proves the lemma. •

Definition 1.8. Put $P_{X/S} = R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X}$. There is a canonical morphism $P_{X/S} \rightarrow i_* i^* P_{X/S}$, and we define $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ to be the kernel and cokernel respectively of this morphism: i.e., there is an exact sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow P_{X/S} \rightarrow i_* i^* P_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 1.9. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a good model, and let $s \in S$. Then the canonical morphism on stalks*

$$(P_{X/S})_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow (i_* i^* P_{X/S})_{\bar{s}}$$

is the canonical restriction map

$$r_s : \text{Pic } X(\bar{s}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}})^G$$

where $X(\bar{s}) = X \times_S \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}} = \text{Spec}(K_{\bar{s}})_{\text{sep}}$, the spectrum of the separable closure of $K_{\bar{s}}$, and $G = \text{Gal}((K_{\bar{s}})_{\text{sep}}/K_{\bar{s}})$.

Proof: If $\bar{s}$ is a geometric point, consider the base change $f_{\bar{s}} : X(\bar{s}) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$. Then we can compute the stalk $(P_{X/S})_{\bar{s}}$ as $H^1(X(\bar{s}), \mathbf{G}_m) = \text{Pic } X(\bar{s})$. Also, if $g : \eta_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow \eta$ is the canonical morphism corresponding to the extension of fields, then

$$(i_* i^* P_{X/S})_{\bar{s}} = H^0(\eta_{\bar{s}}, g^* i^* R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X}).$$

Now $(g^* i^* R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X})_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}}$ is a discrete G -module, and

$$H^0(\eta_{\bar{s}}, g^* i^* R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X}) = (g^* i^* R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X})_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}}^G.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g^* i^* R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X})_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}} &= (R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X})_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}} \\ &= H^1(X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}}, \mathbf{G}_{m, X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}}}) \quad (\text{by [16], III 1.17}) \\ &= \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}}) \\ &= \text{Pic}(E \times_K (K_{\bar{s}})_{\text{sep}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $E = X_{\eta}$. Thus we have

$$(i_* i^* P_{X/S})_{\bar{s}} = \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}})^G.$$

•

Proposition 1.10. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a good model. We have*

- a) $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}$ is p -primary for any $s \in S^{(1)}$, where p is the characteristic of the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$;
- b) for any $s \in S^{(\geq 1)}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}$ is torsion, killed by δ_s .

Proof: Applying the exact sequence (3) to $\bar{f} : X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}} \rightarrow \eta_{\bar{s}}$, we obtain

$$0 = \text{Pic}(\eta_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}) \rightarrow H^0(\eta_{\bar{s}}, R^1 \bar{f}_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\eta_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}})$$

and as in the proof of Proposition 1.9, $H^0(\eta_{\bar{s}}, R^1 \bar{f}_* \mathbf{G}_{m, X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}}) = \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}})^G$, so we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}})^G \rightarrow \ker(\text{Br}(\eta_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $\text{Pic}(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}) = \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}})^G$ if $\text{Br } \eta_{\bar{s}} = 0$. Nevertheless, by Lemma 1.7, $\ker(\text{Br}(\eta_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}))$ is killed by δ_s .

Now we can compute $\mathcal{F}$: the stalk $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}$ is the cokernel of $(P_{X/S})_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow (i_* i^* P_{X/S})_{\bar{s}}$, which by Proposition 1.9 is the map

$$r_s : \text{Pic}(X(\bar{s})) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}})^G,$$

which is the restriction map of Cartier divisors from $X(\bar{s})$ to $X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{s}}}$. Now, since X is regular, taking the closure of any element of $\text{Pic}(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}})$ in $X(\bar{s})$ gives a Cartier divisor, so $\text{Pic}(X(\bar{s})) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}})$ is surjective. Thus the cokernel of r_s is $\ker(\text{Br}(\eta_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(X_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}))$, which as remarked above is killed by δ_s , proving b). Part a) then follows from [10, III Cor. 1.3]. •

Corollary 1.11. *If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a good model, and $\delta_s = 1$ for all $s \in S$, then $\mathcal{F} = 0$.*

The next several propositions enable us to compute the cohomology of $\mathcal{E}$.

Proposition 1.12. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a good model. Suppose that for all $t \in S^{(1)}$ such that X_t is not geometrically integral, $\overline{\{t\}}$, the closure of $\{t\}$ in S , is normal. Then $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{t \in S^{(1)}} i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}$, where $i_t : t \rightarrow S$ is the inclusion map, $t = \text{Spec } k(t)$. Furthermore, $i_t^* \mathcal{E} = 0$ unless X_t is not geometrically integral.*

Proof: There is a natural functorial map

$$\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{t \in S^{(1)}} i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E},$$

so we need only check that this is an isomorphism on stalks. Using the definition of r_s in Proposition 1.9, $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{s}} = \ker r_s$, which is precisely the subgroup $\text{Pic } X(\bar{s})_{fib}$ of $\text{Pic } X(\bar{s})$ generated by divisors whose support doesn't intersect the generic fibre, or divisors which are mapped by $f_{\bar{s}}$ to points of codimension one in $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$. Note that for $t \in S^{(1)}$, $i_t^* \mathcal{E} = 0$ unless the fibre X_t of $f : X \rightarrow S$ is not geometrically integral, for $(i_t^* \mathcal{E})_{\bar{t}} = \ker(\text{Pic}(X(\bar{t})) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{t}}}))$ and $\text{Pic}(X(\bar{t}))_{fib} = 0$ if X_t is geometrically integral. Let $t_1, \dots, t_k$ be the points of codimension 1 in $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$ such that the geometric fibres $X_{\bar{t}_i}$ are not geometrically integral. By the normality assumption, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the t_i 's and the elements $t \in S^{(1)}$ with $s \in \overline{\{t\}}$ and X_t not geometrically integral. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{s}} = \bigoplus_i G_i$, where G_i is the subgroup of $\text{Pic}(X(\bar{s}))$ spanned by the integral divisors whose generic point projects down to t_i . Now it is easy to see that G_i is isomorphic under ϕ to the direct summand $(i_{t_i*} i_{t_i}^* \mathcal{E})_{\bar{s}}$. This verifies the assertion. •

Proposition 1.13. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a good model, and suppose that $\overline{\{t\}}$ is normal for all $t \in S^{(1)}$ with X_t not geometrically integral. Then*

a)

$$H^i(S, \mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{t \in S^{(1)}} H^i(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}),$$

where all but a finite number of these terms are zero.

- b) Suppose X and S are schemes over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let X_t^i , $i = 1, \dots, n(t)$ be the irreducible components of the fibre X_t , $\tilde{X}_t^i$ the normalization of X_t^i , $\tilde{X}_t^i \rightarrow t_i \rightarrow t$ the Stein factorizations of $\tilde{X}_t^i \rightarrow t$, $C(t) = \overline{\{t\}}$ and $C(t_i)$ the normalization of $C(t)$ in t_i . Then there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}) &\rightarrow H^1(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n(t)} H^1(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n(t)} H^2(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow H^3(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^3(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n(t)} H^3(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Here each map $H^j(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^j(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$ is the composition of the functorial homomorphism corresponding to the map $C(t_i) \rightarrow C(t)$ and the homomorphism corresponding to the map $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ given by $x \mapsto m_i x$, where m_i is the multiplicity of X_t^i .

Proof: a) is clear from Proposition 1.12.

For b), we have $(i_t^* \mathcal{E})_{\bar{t}} = \ker(\text{Pic } X(\bar{t}) \rightarrow \text{Pic } X_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{t}}})$ which is precisely $\text{coker}(\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^c)$ where c is the number of components of the fibre over $\bar{t}$ with the map $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^c$ given by $a \mapsto (\bar{m}_i a)$ where $\bar{m}_i$ is the multiplicity of the i th component of $X_{\bar{t}}$. Now let t' be a Galois extension of t , with $H = \text{Gal}(\bar{t}/t')$ acting on $(i_t^* \mathcal{E})_{\bar{t}}$ by its action on the components of $X_{\bar{t}}$. Then $(i_t^* \mathcal{E})(t') = (i_t^* \mathcal{E})_{\bar{t}}^H$, which is given by $\text{coker}(\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{c(t')})$, where $c(t')$ is the number of components of $X_t \times_t t'$. One way to describe the sheaf $i_t^* \mathcal{E}$ is then as follows: the number of irreducible components of $X_t^i \times_t t'$ is the same as the number of connected components of $\tilde{X}_t^i \times_t t'$ which is the same as that of $t_i \times_t t'$. Hence we can write, if we put $\tilde{t} = \coprod_i t_i$, with $p_t : \tilde{t} \rightarrow t$ the canonical map,

$$i_t^* \mathcal{E} = \text{coker}(\phi_t : \mathbf{Z}_t \rightarrow p_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{\tilde{t}}),$$

with the ϕ_t map defined as follows: $(\mathbf{Z}_t)_{\bar{t}} = \mathbf{Z}$ and

$$(p_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{\tilde{t}})_{\bar{t}} = \prod_i \mathbf{Z}^{[t_i:t]}$$

by [16] II 3.5 c). We then define ϕ_t by sending 1 to $(m_i, \dots, m_i) \in \mathbf{Z}^{[t_i:t]}$, for each i , where m_i is the multiplicity of X_t^i . Then it is clear that $(\text{coker } \phi_t)_{\bar{t}} = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{t}} = (i_t^* \mathcal{E})_{\bar{t}}$.

Now put

$$\bar{C}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n(t)} C(t_i)$$

and let $q_t : \bar{C}(t) \rightarrow C(t)$ be the natural morphism. On t , we have the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_t \rightarrow p_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{\tilde{t}} \rightarrow i_t^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0,$$

which we can push forward to obtain

$$0 \rightarrow i_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_t \rightarrow i_{t*} p_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{\tilde{t}} \rightarrow i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $R^1 i_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_t = 0$. Now if $j_t : C(t) \rightarrow S$ is the inclusion map, then we can check that $i_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_t = j_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{C(t)}$ and $i_{t*} p_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{\tilde{t}} = j_{t*} q_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{\bar{C}(t)}$, using the fact that $C(t)$ and $\bar{C}(t)$ are normal. Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow j_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{C(t)} \rightarrow j_{t*} q_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{\bar{C}(t)} \rightarrow i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $H^i(S, j_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{C(t)}) = H^i(C(t), \mathbf{Z}_{C(t)})$, since j_{t*} is exact, as for any closed immersion, and

$$H^i(S, j_{t*} q_{t*} \mathbf{Z}_{\bar{C}(t)}) = H^i(\bar{C}(t), \mathbf{Z}_{\bar{C}(t)}),$$

as q_t is finite.

Now by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and the fact that $H^i(Z, \mathbf{Q}_Z) = 0$ for any normal scheme Z , $i \geq 1$, we have $H^1(Z, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ and $H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) = H^1(Z, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$, and we obtain b) by using the long exact sequence of cohomology associated with (5). •

Corollary 1.14. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a good model over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and suppose that $\overline{\{t\}}$ is normal for all $t \in S^{(1)}$ with X_t not geometrically integral, and let*

$$d_t = \gcd\{m_i[t_i : t], i = 1, \dots, n(t)\}.$$

Then

$$\ker(H^1(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{n(t)} H^1(C(t_j), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}))$$

is killed by d_t . In particular, if $d_t = 1$, and $C(t)$ is normal, then we have

$$H^1(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}) = 0.$$

If furthermore $t_i = t$ for some i for which $m_i = 1$, then we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n(t)} H^1(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n(t)} H^2(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof: We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) & \subseteq & H^1(t, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) & \subseteq & H^1(t_i, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \end{array}$$

since $C(t)$ is normal, and the map $H^1(t, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(t_i, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$ is the restriction map multiplied by m_i . Now we have the trace map $H^1(t_i, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(t, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$ [16, V, 1.12] whose composition with the above map is multiplication by $m_i[t_i : t]$. Thus

$$\ker(H^1(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}))$$

is killed by $m_i[t_i : t]$, and the intersection of these kernels is thus killed by d_t .

If $t_k = t$ for some k for which $m_k = 1$, then it is clear that for any j ,

$$\ker(H^j(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n(t)} H^j(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})) = 0,$$

as $H^j(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^j(C(t_k), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Hence the corollary follows. •

Corollary 1.15. *With the same hypotheses as Corollary 1.14, assume that $d_t = 1$ for any $t \in S^{(1)}$ and $C(t)$ is normal whenever X_t is not geometrically integral. Then*

$$H^1(S, \mathcal{E}) = 0.$$

Proposition 1.16. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a good model. Assume $\delta_s = 1$ for all $s \in S$, i.e. $X_\eta \in \text{III}_S(A)$. Then there are the following exact sequences:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/\delta'_\eta \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \text{III}_S(A) \rightarrow H^1(S, i_* i^* P_{X/S}) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$H^1(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^1(S, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^1(S, i_* i^* P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S}),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Br}'(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}'(X) \rightarrow H^1(S, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S}).$$

Proof: We need to compare $H^1(S, i_*A)$ with $H^1(S, i_*i^*P_{X/S})$. Now $A = \mathrm{Pic}(X_\eta/\eta)^0 \subseteq \mathrm{Pic}(X_\eta/\eta)$ as sheaves over η , and $\mathrm{Pic}(X_\eta/\eta) = i^*P_{X/S}$. Recall that for any finite Galois extension $\eta' \rightarrow \eta$ with Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta')$, the value of the sheaf $\mathrm{Pic}(X_\eta/\eta)$ on η' is equal to the group $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^G$. This allows us to define the degree map of sheaves on η

$$d_\eta : \mathrm{Pic}(X_\eta/\eta) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\eta,$$

and $A = \ker d_\eta$. Applying i_* , we obtain

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow i_*A \rightarrow i_*i^*P_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathbf{Z}_S = i_*\mathbf{Z}_\eta$ such that for any $s \in S$, one has $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{s}} = \delta'_s \mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$, where δ'_s is the smallest degree of an element from the group $(i_*i^*P_{X/S})_{\bar{s}} = \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_s})^G$ where $G = \mathrm{Gal}((K_{\bar{s}})_{\mathrm{sep}}/K_{\bar{s}})$. Clearly $\delta'_s | \delta_s$, and so if $\delta_s = 1$ for all $s \in S$, we must have $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{Z}$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Im}(H^0(S, i_*i^*P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{Z})) \\ &= \mathrm{Im}(H^0(S, i_*i^*P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^0(S, i_*\mathbf{Z}_\eta)) \\ &= \mathrm{Im}(H^0(\eta, i^*P_{X/S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\eta) \\ &= \delta'_\eta \mathbf{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

by Definition 1.6. Now define δ''_η by

$$\mathrm{coker}(H^0(S, \mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathbf{Z})) = \mathbf{Z}/\delta''_\eta \mathbf{Z}.$$

We must have $\delta''_\eta | \delta'_\eta$, and if $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{Z}$, then $\delta''_\eta = 1$. We obtain, from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

that $H^1(S, \mathcal{Z}) = H^0(S, M)/(\mathbf{Z}/\delta''_\eta \mathbf{Z})$, as $H^1(S, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$, and also

$$\mathrm{coker}(H^0(S, i_*i^*P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{Z})) = \delta''_\eta \mathbf{Z}/\delta'_\eta \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}/(\delta'_\eta/\delta''_\eta) \mathbf{Z}.$$

Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/(\delta'_\eta/\delta''_\eta) \mathbf{Z} &\rightarrow H^1(S, i_*A) \rightarrow H^1(S, i_*i^*P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^0(S, M)/(\mathbf{Z}/\delta''_\eta \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(S, i_*A) \rightarrow H^2(S, i_*i^*P_{X/S}). \end{aligned}$$

If $\delta_s = 1$ for all $s \in S$, then $M = 0$ and this reduces to the first exact sequence of Proposition 1.16. The second and third come from the exact sequences (4) and (3), and Corollary 1.11. •

Corollary 1.17. *Assume that $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a good model with a section and one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) *for each $s \in S^{(1)}$ the residue field $k(s)$ is algebraically closed;*
- (ii) *for each $s \in S^{(1)}$ the fibre X_s is geometrically integral. Then*

$$\text{III}_S(A) \cong \text{coker}(\text{Br}'(S) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(X)).$$

Case (i) is of course the case that $\dim S = 1$, covered in [10, III §4].

Example 1.18. Let $S \cong \mathbf{P}^2$ be a general base-point-free net of cubics in $\mathbf{P}^2$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then the incidence relation $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}^2 \times S$ is an elliptic three-fold over S via the projection $f : X \rightarrow S$, and a $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^2$ via the projection $p : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$. Since a general line l in $\mathbf{P}^2$ intersects each cubic curve from S in three points, its pre-image p^*l under the projection $p : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ is a finite cover of degree 3 over S . This shows that $\delta_S = \delta_\eta = 3$, unless $\delta_\eta \leq 2$. Let us show that the latter is impossible. If $\delta_\eta \leq 2$, there would be a divisor D on X intersecting the general fibre F of $f : X \rightarrow S$ in one or two points. But $p : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ being a $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle, $\text{Pic } X$ is generated by p^*l and f^*l , which is a quasi-section of $p : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$. One has $p^*l.F = 3$ and $f^*l.F = 0$, so any linear combination of these two divisors must intersect F in a number divisible by 3. Thus there does not exist a divisor D on X with $D.F = 1$ or 2.

Since X is rational, $\text{Br}'(X) = 0$ ([10], III Cor. 7.3). By Proposition 1.2, $H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is torsion, and by the Kummer sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow 0,$$

we easily get that $H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0$, as $H^3(S, \mu_n) = 0$ since $S \cong \mathbf{P}^2$. Applying (3), we infer that $H^1(S, P_{X/S}) = 0$. Since S is general, all fibres over points in $S^{(1)}$ are geometrically irreducible, so $H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. Thus $H^1(S, i_*i^*P_{X/S}) = 0$. Now $\delta_\eta = 3$, and $\delta'_\eta | \delta_\eta$. Since the fibres of $X \rightarrow S$ are all reduced, for every point $s \in S$ the localized morphism $X(\bar{s}) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$ has a section. This shows that X_η has a rational point over $K_{\bar{s}}$, i.e. represents a non-trivial element from the group $\text{III}_S(A)$, and $\delta_s = 1$ for all $s \in S$. Proposition 1.16 then tells us that

$$\text{III}_S(A) = \mathbf{Z}/\delta'_\eta \mathbf{Z},$$

where A is the jacobian variety of X_η . Thus $\delta'_\eta \neq 1$, so $\delta'_\eta = 3$ and

$$\text{III}_S(A) = \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}.$$

A curious question: what is the other non-trivial torsor from $\text{III}_S(A)$?

§2. Models for Elliptic Threefolds.

We assume from now on that S is an integral scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, or an open subscheme of a strict localization of such a scheme. Starting with Theorem 2.8, we also assume that $\dim S = 2$.

Definition 2.1. A projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ is called an *elliptic fibration* if its generic fibre E is a regular curve of genus one and all fibres are geometrically connected. $f : X \rightarrow S$ is called a *model* for E . The closed subset

$$\Delta = \{s \in S \mid X_s \text{ is not regular}\}$$

is called the *degeneration or discriminant locus*. If $t \in S^{(1)}$, the *fibre type* of t is the Kodaira fibre type $({}_mI_n, I_n^*, II, II^*, III, III^*, IV, IV^*)$ of the central fibre of a relatively minimal model (or Néron model) of $X(\bar{t}) = X \times_S \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{t}}$. A *collision* is a singular point of Δ . The closed subset

$$\Delta^m = \{s \in S \mid f \text{ is not smooth at any } x \in f^{-1}(s)\}$$

is called the *multiple locus* of f . A fibre over a point $s \in \Delta^m$ is called *multiple*. A fibre is called an *isolated multiple fibre* if it is over a zero-dimensional component of Δ^m . Note that each component of a multiple fibre must be either of dimension > 1 or of dimension one and non-reduced at each of its points. A *section* (resp. a *rational section*) of f is a closed subscheme Y of X for which the restriction of f to Y is an isomorphism (resp. a birational morphism).

The first goal of this section is to determine when a good model for an elliptic curve over K exists. To construct such a good model, we begin by studying Weierstrass fibrations and review their properties. Following Miranda in [17], we can then resolve the singularities of Weierstrass models under certain circumstances to obtain a good model.

First we review the definition of a Weierstrass model. See [5, 19, 20] for details. Let $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on a scheme S , $a \in H^0(S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4})$, and $b \in H^0(S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6})$ such that $4a^3 + 27b^2$ is a non-zero section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 12}$. Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -3})$, $\pi : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow S$ be the natural projection, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbf{P}$. We define the scheme $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ as a closed subscheme of $\mathbf{P}$ given by the equation $Y^2Z = X^3 + aXZ^2 + bZ^3$ where X, Y and Z are given by the sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ which correspond to the natural injections of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -3}$ and $\mathcal{O}_S$ into $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -3}$, respectively.

The structure morphism $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ is a flat elliptic fibration, called a *Weierstrass fibration*. It has a section $\sigma : S \rightarrow W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ defined by the S -point $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 1, 0)$. It is easy to see that $\sigma(S)$ lies in the smooth locus of $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ if S is regular. We will call this section the *section at infinity*. Its conormal bundle is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$.

The discriminant locus of $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ is equal to the support of the Cartier divisor defined by the section Δ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 12}$ given by $4a^3 + 27b^2$. This gives the discriminant locus a scheme structure. A Weierstrass fibration has fibres which are irreducible plane cubics.

Let C be the set of common zeroes of sections a and b . The fibres of $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ over points of C are cuspidal cubics.

Note that the construction of a Weierstrass fibration is functorial. If $g' : S' \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of schemes, then

$$W(g^*\mathcal{L}, g^*(a), g^*(b)) \cong W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \times_S S'.$$

One can define the absolute invariant j of $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ as a section of $\mathbf{P}_{S-C}^1/S - C$ given by $j(s) = 4a^3/\Delta$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f : W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ and $f' : W(\mathcal{L}', a', b') \rightarrow S$ be two Weierstrass models over an integral scheme S . Assume that no fibres of f and f' are cuspidal cubics. If there is an isomorphism between the generic fibres of f and f' which preserves the section at infinity, then there exists an isomorphism of $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ with $W(\mathcal{L}', a', b')$ over S which preserves the section at infinity.*

Proof: The modular invariants j and j' of f and f' , respectively, coincide at the generic point η of S . Thus $j(S)$ and $j'(S')$ are both equal to the closure of the same point in the generic fibre of $\mathbf{P}_S^1 \rightarrow S$, hence $j = j'$. By Proposition 5.3 of [5], the functor of isomorphisms $Isom(f, f')$ preserving the section is representable by a finite and non-ramified scheme S' surjective over S . By assumption, $S'(\eta) \neq \emptyset$. This obviously implies that $S' = S$, and f is isomorphic to f' over S . •

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic fibration which possesses a section $\sigma : S \rightarrow X$ with X and S regular. Then there exists a birational S -morphism g from X to a Weierstrass fibration $f' : W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$. The sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to all of the following sheaves: $\sigma^*(\Omega_{X/S}^1)$, $f_*\omega_{X/S}$, $(R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1}$, or $\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(S)}(-\sigma(S))$ when they are invertible. The map g blows down all components of fibres which do not intersect $\sigma(S)$.*

Proof: This is [20], Theorem 2.1. The theorem there is stated for complex manifolds though in fact the proof works whenever $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$. See also [5] and [19] for results of a similar nature. •

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be an elliptic curve over K with a rational point $\xi \in A(K)$. For any regular model S of K there exists a Weierstrass fibration $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ over S with generic fibre isomorphic to A . The closure of the point ξ is the section at infinity of $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$.*

Proof: By resolution of singularities and resolution of indeterminate points of rational maps we can find an elliptic fibration of regular schemes $f : X \rightarrow S$ with generic fibre isomorphic to A . A rational point of A gives rise to a rational section of f . Since S is

regular, it defines a section over an open subset U whose complement is of codimension ≥ 2 . Since X and S are regular and the restriction $f_U : X_U \rightarrow U$ of f over U admits a section, we can apply Theorem 2.3 to f_U to obtain a birational U -morphism from X_U to a Weierstrass fibration $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ over U . Since S is regular, $\mathcal{L}$ can be extended to S . The sections a and b also extend, since $S - U$ is codimension ≥ 2 . We thus obtain a Weierstrass elliptic fibration $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ birational to $f : X \rightarrow S$. The last assertion follows from the construction of the birational map $X \rightarrow W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$. •

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be an invertible sheaf with a non-zero section e . Then the Weierstrass fibrations $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ and $W(\mathcal{L} \otimes M, a \otimes e^4, b \otimes e^6)$ are isomorphic over the complement of the set of zeroes of the section e . The birational map is defined by the formula:*

$$(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (eX, Y, e^3Z).$$

Proof: This is a straightforward generalization of the case when S is a field. •

Definition 2.6. *A Weierstrass fibration $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ is called *minimal* if there is no effective divisor D such that $\text{div}(a) \geq 4D$, $\text{div}(b) \geq 6D$.*

Proposition 2.7. *Assume that S is a nonsingular complex surface. Let $f : W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ be a minimal Weierstrass fibration whose discriminant locus is a divisor with normal crossings. Then $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ has Gorenstein rational singularities. Moreover, under these conditions, $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ is defined uniquely, up to isomorphism, by its generic fibre.*

Proof: See [20], Corollaries 2.4 and 2.6. •

By Proposition 2.5, every Weierstrass fibration is birationally isomorphic to a minimal Weierstrass fibration. In particular, in the assertion of Proposition 2.4 we may assume that A is isomorphic to the generic fibre of a minimal Weierstrass fibration. We will call this fibration a *Weierstrass model* of A .

From now on in this section we assume that S is an algebraic non-singular surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ be a Weierstrass model. Then there exists a blowing-up $S' \rightarrow S$ with S' regular, and a Weierstrass model $W(\mathcal{L}', a', b') \rightarrow S'$ birational to $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ and a resolution of singularities $X' \rightarrow W(\mathcal{L}', a', b')$ with composition $X' \rightarrow W(\mathcal{L}', a', b') \rightarrow S'$ with X' flat over S' .*

Proof. Miranda [17] has given an explicit algorithm for finding such a resolution, first by blowing up the base surface S until the reduced discriminant locus Δ_{red} has simple normal crossings, and continuing further so that only one of a small list of possible collisions between components of Δ can occur, namely the following possibilities: $I_{M_1} +$

$I_{M_2}, I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}^*, II + IV, II + I_0^*, II + IV^*, IV + I_0^*, III + I_0^*$. Here we use the notation for the Kodaira fibre type of fibres over the generic points of the components that meet at a collision point. He then constructs a natural resolution. He points out that his construction is an algebraic space. The only place one leaves the category of schemes is in using a small resolution of an ordinary double point in resolving collisions of Kodaira type I_{m_1} and I_{m_2} with m_1 and m_2 odd. However, if one blows up such collision points on S' , one obtains over the exceptional curve fibres of type $I_{m_1+m_2}$, and since $m_1 + m_2$ is even, we no longer have such a collision. Miranda has constructed these resolutions locally, but as he points out in [17], Theorem 15.1, the resolutions will work globally too. •

Definition 2.9. *A Miranda elliptic fibration is an elliptic fibration $f : X \rightarrow S$ such that*

- a) *X and S are regular and f is flat and has a section;*
- b) *the discriminant locus Δ has simple normal crossing;*
- c) *All collisions are of type $I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}, I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}^*, II + IV, II + I_0^*, II + IV^*, IV + I_0^*$ or $III + I_0^*$.*

Corollary 2.10. *Let A be a one-dimensional abelian variety over a field K of transcendence degree 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then there exists a Miranda elliptic fibration $f : X \rightarrow S$ where S is some projective model for K with the generic fibre isomorphic to A (a Miranda model of A).*

Now consider $E \in \text{III}_S(A)$ for some S and A , and let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a model for E . By the definition of the Tate-Shafarevich group, for each $s \in S$, there exists an étale neighborhood of s , $U \rightarrow S$, such that $U \times_S X \rightarrow U$ has a rational (i.e. not everywhere defined) section. Such a section fails to be defined only in codimension ≥ 2 . Now if $f : X \rightarrow S$ has a local section at a point $s \in S$, and that section does not pass through a singular point of X on $f^{-1}(s)$, then the fibre X_s is not multiple. Thus, in particular, if the singularities of X are of codimension ≥ 3 , we learn that $f : X \rightarrow S$ has no multiple fibres in codimension one, but may have isolated multiple fibres. We would like to find out when there might exist a model for E without any multiple fibres.

Clearly we cannot expect any model for E not to have any isolated multiple fibres. For example, we could blow up a one-dimensional fibre of f , and obtain a fibre of dimension two, which is thus a multiple fibre. Hence we see that we should at least insist that our model $f : X \rightarrow S$ should be relatively minimal in some sense. The suitable definition is Mori's:

Definition 2.11. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism. We say it is relatively minimal if X is $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial and has only terminal singularities, and if $C \subseteq X$ is any irreducible curve mapping to a point in S , then $K_X \cdot C \geq 0$, where K_X is the canonical divisor (which*

is $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier) of X [13, 0.4.1]. See [13], §0 for details on terminal singularities and canonical divisors on singular varieties. Terminal singularities have codimension ≥ 3 in X .

If $\dim X = 2$, then this reduces to the old definition of relatively minimal model: X is in fact regular and no rational -1 -curves are contained in fibres of f . Recall that the main result of [18] shows that a relatively minimal model always exists when $\dim X = 3$. We shall study the properties of relatively minimal fibrations, and then return to the issue of isolated multiple fibres.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a Miranda elliptic fibration. Then f is relatively minimal.*

Proof: By [17], (15.3), K_X is the pull-back of a divisor on S . Thus $K_X.C = 0$ for any curve C contained in a fibre of f , and so f is relatively minimal. •

Unlike in the case that $\dim X = 2$, minimal models are not unique in dimension 3, but are closely related by an elementary birational operation called a flop. See [15] for definitions and details.

Theorem 2.13. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $f' : X' \rightarrow S$ are relatively minimal fibrations, $\dim X, X' = 3$, and $g : X \rightarrow X'$ a birational map over S . Then g decomposes as a sequence of flops over S .*

Proof: [12, Theorem 6.1] and [15, Theorem 4.9] •

This leads to the following useful observation.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration such that Δ_{red} has no singular points. Then any rational section is a regular section.*

Proof: Let $W \rightarrow S$ be a minimal Weierstrass model of the generic fibre X_η such that its section at infinity extends our rational section. By [17] Theorem 7.2, the singularities of W can be resolved uniformly without changing S , as the singularities are, locally, $\mathbf{A}^1 \times \{\text{Du Val}\}$, where the Du Val singularities are the usual ones occurring in minimal Weierstrass models for surfaces. Furthermore, such a resolution is a Miranda model and hence is a relatively minimal model $f' : X' \rightarrow S$. Thus there is a sequence of flops connecting X and X' . But because the resolution of singularities was uniform, there are no rigid curves contained in the fibres, and hence no flops can occur. Thus X is isomorphic to X' over S , hence our section is regular. •

Remark 2.15. This shows that if $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a relatively minimal model with a section, with Δ_{red} non-singular, and if $X^\# \subseteq X$ is the maximal open subset on which f is smooth, then $(i_*A)(U) = X^\#(U) = \text{Hom}_S(U, X^\#)$, if $U \rightarrow S$ is étale. In addition, it shows that $f : X^\# \rightarrow S$ is a weak Néron model of A . (See [3], Def. 1, pg. 74).

Before continuing, let us relate the concept of relatively minimal and that of a minimal Weierstrass model.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration possessing a section, and let $W(f_*\omega_{X/S}, a, b)$ be the Weierstrass model given by Theorem 2.3, assuming $f_*\omega_{X/S}$ is invertible. Then this is a minimal Weierstrass model.*

Proof: Let $S_0 \subseteq S$ be the finite set of singular points of Δ_{red} and images of singular points of X , and let $U = S - S_0$. Put $X_U = X \times_S U$, $f' : X_U \rightarrow U$ and $i : U \rightarrow S$ the inclusion. Then $i_*f'_*\omega_{X_U/U} = f_*\omega_{X/S}$, so if we show the theorem is true for $X_U \rightarrow U$, then extending the Weierstrass model, we obtain a minimal Weierstrass model for f . Thus we can assume that Δ_{red} is smooth, and we replace S by U and X by X_U .

Now take a minimal Weierstrass model $g : W = W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ of the generic fibre X_η . It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.14 that X can be obtained from W by minimal resolutions of singularities of local type $\mathbf{A}^1 \times \{\text{Du Val}\}$, and we obtain a birational morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow W$. We have $\pi_*\omega_{X/S} = \omega_{W/S}$ since singularities of W are rational. Hence $f_*\omega_{X/S} = g_*\pi_*\omega_{X/S} = g_*\omega_{W/S}$. By Theorem 2.3, we obtain that the latter sheaf is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$. Now notice that two Weierstrass fibrations $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ and $W(\mathcal{L}, a', b')$ with isomorphic generic fibres necessarily coincide. Therefore $W(f_*\omega_{X/S}, a, b) \cong W(\mathcal{L}, a, b)$ is minimal. •

Proposition 2.17. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration such that $X_\eta \in \text{III}_S(A)$, with A being the jacobian of X_η , and let $g : W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow S$ be a minimal Weierstrass model for A . Then the discriminant curves of f and g coincide.*

Proof: Again, as in the proof of Proposition 2.16 we can remove a finite set of points and assume that the discriminant locus $\Delta(f)$ of f is non-singular. Now, let $s \in S$ be a point, and $U \rightarrow S$ an étale neighborhood of s such that $X_\eta \times_K K(U)$ has a rational point over $K(U)$. Then $g : U \times_S X \rightarrow U$ is a relatively minimal fibration with $\Delta(g) = \Delta(f) \times_S U$, and g has a section, by Theorem 2.14. Now at the same time, consider $g' : U \times_S W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \rightarrow U$. The generic fibres of g and g' are necessarily isomorphic, and thus g and g' are birational. Since both fibrations are relatively minimal, the fibrations themselves must be isomorphic. Thus, the discriminant loci agree over U . Since U was étale over S , the discriminant loci agree over S , by letting s vary in S . •

As we have learned in this section, we may have to change the base S to construct a good model of an elliptic curve over K . As the Tate-Shafarevich group depends on the base S , this may change this group. In fact, it may only increase:

Proposition 2.18. *Let A be an elliptic curve with a rational point over a function field K of S a surface, and let $S' \rightarrow S$ be the blowing up of S in a point s . Then there is a*

natural inclusion

$$\text{III}_S(A) \subseteq \text{III}_{S'}(A).$$

Proof: Both these groups sit inside $H^1(\eta, A)$. It is then clear that if $E \in \text{III}_S(A)$ and $X \rightarrow S$ is a model for this, it has locally (in the étale topology) at s a rational section. Pulling this back to $X \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$, one obtains a local rational section over all points of the exceptional curve on S' , and hence $E \in \text{III}_{S'}(A)$. •

We now have several reasons to study isolated multiple fibres. As mentioned earlier, it is possible that a fibration possesses a rational section, but nevertheless has an isolated multiple fibre. In addition, in the previous proposition, we see that a possible increase in the Tate-Shafarevich group is due to isolated multiple fibres. Indeed, it is clear that in the above Proposition,

$$\text{III}_{S'}(A) \subseteq \text{III}_{S-\{s\}}(A),$$

so if $\text{III}_S(A) \neq \text{III}_{S'}(A)$, there must be an element $E \in \text{III}_{S-\{s\}}(A)$ for which all models have a multiple fibre over s . This motivates us to distinguish between three different types of isolated multiple fibres:

Definition 2.19. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration, $s \in S$ a closed point, such that $f^{-1}(s)$ is an isolated multiple fibre. Put $S(\bar{s}) = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$ and let A be the jacobian of the generic fibre of the basechange $f_{\bar{s}} : X(\bar{s}) \rightarrow S(\bar{s})$. We call $f^{-1}(s)$

- 1) an *evanescent multiple fibre* if there exists a sequence of blow-ups $S' \rightarrow S(\bar{s})$ such that $X(\bar{s})_{\eta_{\bar{s}}} \in \text{III}_{S'}(A) - \{0\}$;
- 2) an *obstinate multiple fibre* if $X(\bar{s})_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}$ does not have a point over $\eta_{\bar{s}}$, and it is not evanescent.
- 3) a *locally trivial multiple fibre* if $X(\bar{s})_{\eta_{\bar{s}}}$ has a point over $\eta_{\bar{s}}$.

Example 2.20. All three types of isolated multiple fibres exist. Here we give examples of evanescent and locally trivial multiple fibres, and in §3, we give examples of obstinate isolated multiple fibres.

To obtain an evanescent multiple fibre, let $S' \rightarrow S$ be the blowing up at a point $s \in S$, with exceptional curve E , and let $f' : X \rightarrow S'$ be a locally trivial fibration such that $X_E = X \times_{S'} E$ does not have a section. Then $f : X \rightarrow S$ cannot have a rational section, or else it would lift to a rational section of f' which necessarily gives a section $X_E \rightarrow E$. In addition, it has an isolated multiple fibre because the map f is not flat at any point of $f^{-1}(s)$.

To obtain a specific example, consider the ruled surface F_1 along with the map $F_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ giving the ruling. Let Y be, for example, an elliptic $K3$ surface over $\mathbf{P}^1$ without a

section, and put $X = F_1 \times_{\mathbf{P}^1} Y$. This is an elliptic three-fold over F_1 , having the desired properties, taking E to be the negative section of F_1 .

To obtain a locally trivial multiple fibre, consider over $S = \operatorname{Spec} k[[s, t]]$ the double cover given by

$$y^2 = sx(x^3 - t).$$

In $\operatorname{Spec} k[[s, t, x]]$, the branch locus has three components, $B_1 = \{s = 0\}$, $B_2 = \{x = 0\}$, and $B_3 = \{x^3 = t\}$. The branch locus is singular along $B_i \cap B_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Blow up $B_1 \cap B_3$ first, and then the singular locus of the proper transform of the branch locus consists of the proper transforms of $B_1 \cap B_2$ and $B_2 \cap B_3$, which are now disjoint. Blow these two curves up. This provides a resolution of singularities for the double cover. Over $s = t = 0$, there are four components, of multiplicities 2, 4, 6 and 3. The proper transform of $x = 0$ in the double cover is now a rational section, not defined at $s = t = 0$, as it contains the entire component of multiplicity 6.

Note that $s = t = 0$ is a collision point of type $IV + I_0^*$.

Theorem 2.21. *Let $j : J \rightarrow S$ be a Miranda elliptic fibration, S a non-singular surface, and let $E \in WC(J_\eta)$, and $f : X \rightarrow S$ a relatively minimal fibration with $X_\eta = E$. Then $f : X \rightarrow S$ has no evanescent multiple fibres. Furthermore, $f : X \rightarrow S$ can have locally trivial and obstinate multiple fibres only over collision points.*

Proof: The statement about obstinate multiple fibre follows from Corollary 3.2.

Suppose there is an $s \in S$ such that $f^{-1}(s)$ is a locally trivial isolated multiple fibre. Then there is an étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow S$ of s such that $X_U \rightarrow U$ has a rational section $U' \subseteq X_U$. X_U may not be $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial. If it is not, then by [9], Cor. 4.5, there exists a birational morphism $X'_U \rightarrow X_U$ with X'_U being $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial with a one dimensional exceptional locus. $X'_U \rightarrow U$ is relatively minimal, and birational to $J_U \rightarrow U$, and hence is related to J_U by a sequence of flops.

If $s \in S$ is not a collision point, then we can take U to be sufficiently small so that there are no collision points on U . Then as argued in the proof of Theorem 2.14, no flops can be performed on $J_U \rightarrow U$, and hence no small contractions can be performed either. Thus $J_U \cong X_U$ over U , and there can be no locally trivial multiple fibre over $s \in S$ when s is not a collision point.

To prove there are no evanescent fibres, replace $J \rightarrow S$ with $J(\bar{s}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, \bar{s}}$, so that we can assume that S is strictly local. Thus $\operatorname{III}_S(A) = 0$. Now suppose that there is an $S' \rightarrow S$ a blowing-up of S such that $\operatorname{III}_{S'}(A) \neq 0$. Then one can blow up S' further and assume that there is a Miranda model $J' \rightarrow S'$ of A . Now we use Proposition 1.16 to calculate $\operatorname{III}_{S'}(A)$. Since Br' is a birational invariant, $\operatorname{Br}'(S) = \operatorname{Br}'(S') = 0$, and $\operatorname{Br}'(J) = \operatorname{Br}'(J') = 0$. Thus $H^1(S, P_{X/S}) = 0$. To calculate $H^2(S', \mathcal{E})$, notice that Δ' , the

discriminant locus on S' , consists of a union of $\mathbf{P}^1$'s and one or two components which are the proper transforms of components of Δ on S . Since Δ was simple normal crossing to begin with, no component of Δ' intersects more than two other components of Δ' . Thus for each $t \in S'^{(1)}$ in Δ' , we have $C(t) \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ and $C(t_i) \cong \mathbf{P}^1$, the latter because $C(t_i)$ is ramified over $C(t)$ in at most two places. Thus $H^2(S', \mathcal{E}) = 0$, and so $\text{III}_{S'}(A) = 0$. This shows that there are no evanescent fibres over the closed point of S . •

Remark 2.22. One can perform a more detailed analysis of the collision fibres in Miranda models to classify all possible locally trivial multiple fibres which can occur in Theorem 2.21 over collision points. We claim that the only such possibility is the one given in Example 2.20, at a collision point of type $IV + I_0^*$. We analyze some of the easier cases here, but omit the details necessary to consider all collisions.

Recall from the proof of Theorem 2.21 that if $X_U \rightarrow U$ has a locally trivial multiple fibre at $s \in S$, then $X_U \rightarrow U$ is obtained from a Miranda model $J_U \rightarrow U$ by sequence of flops and then a sequence of small contractions.

First suppose that $s \in S$ is a collision point of type $I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}$. Since J_U is regular, so is X'_U (the $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorialization of X_U), since flops do not add singularities ([15], Theorem 2.4). Thus, by [21], Theorem 4.7, the fibre $(X'_U)_s$ is a fibre of type $I_{M_1+M_2}$, and all components are reduced. We certainly cannot contract every component to obtain X_U , so there remains at least one reduced component.

If $s \in S$ is a collision of type $II + IV$, $II + I_0^*$ or $II + IV^*$, then by [17], §12, we see that there are no rigid components of the fibre of J_U over s , and hence there are no flops or small contractions.

This leaves the cases $I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}^*$, $IV + I_0^*$ and $III + I_0^*$. In these cases, flops can occur and they may change the multiplicities of the components of the fibre. In the case $IV + I_0^*$, we can indeed eliminate the one component of multiplicity one, obtaining the example given in 2.20. In the other cases, there was more than one reduced component to begin with, and one can show that one cannot eliminate them all. We omit the details.

The end result of this analysis, if carried out, is that if $j : J \rightarrow S$ is a Miranda model with generic fibre A , $f : X \rightarrow S$ a relatively minimal fibration with $X_\eta \in \text{III}_S(A)$, then f can have isolated multiple fibres at most only over collision points of type $IV + I_0^*$. It may still be possible that one can find another minimal model for f , $f' : X' \rightarrow S$, which does not have any isolated multiple fibre at all.

Summarizing 2.18 and 2.21, we obtain

Theorem 2.23. *Let A be an elliptic curve with a rational point over a function field K of dimension 2 over an algebraically closed field k with $\text{char } k = 0$ and let $j : J \rightarrow S$ be a Miranda model for A , with S projective. If S' is another projective (not necessarily*

regular) model for K , then $\text{III}_{S'}(A) \subseteq \text{III}_S(A)$.

Proof: If S' is another projective model for K , then we can find an S'' resolving the singularities of S' such that S'' also has a birational morphism to S . Then $\text{III}_{S'}(A) \subseteq \text{III}_{S''}(A)$ by Proposition 2.18, and also $\text{III}_S(A) \subseteq \text{III}_{S''}(A)$. But if $E \in \text{III}_{S''}(A)$, $E \notin \text{III}_S(A)$, then a model for E over S must have at least one evanescent multiple fibre, contradicting Theorem 2.21. •

Finally, we apply some results in this section to provide a calculation of the corank of the Tate-Shafarevich group under certain hypotheses.

Theorem 2.24. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a Miranda model, $\dim X = 3$, $\dim S = 2$, A the generic fibre of f , with X and S regular and projective. Then*

a) *there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\text{Br}'(X)}{\text{Br}'(S)} \rightarrow \text{III}_S(A) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

where G is a finite group;

b) *the corank r of $\text{III}_S(A)$ is*

$$b_2(X) - \rho(X) - (b_2(S) - \rho(S)),$$

where b_2 is the second Betti number and ρ is the rank of the Picard group, i.e., $\text{III}_S(A)$ is an extension of $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^r$ by a finite group.

Proof: a) Let $U \subseteq S$ be the largest open subset for which the reduced discriminant locus is non-singular, i.e., U is S minus the finite number of collision points. Then by purity for the Brauer group, $\text{Br}'(S) = \text{Br}'(U)$, and $\text{Br}'(X) = \text{Br}'(X_U)$, where $X_U = X \times_S U$. Let $G = \ker(H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S}))$ and $G_U = \ker(H^2(U, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(U, P_{X_U/U}))$. Then as we have a diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{\text{Br}'(X)}{\text{Br}'(S)} & \longrightarrow & \text{III}_S(A) & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{\text{Br}'(X_U)}{\text{Br}'(U)} & \longrightarrow & \text{III}_U(A) & \longrightarrow & G_U \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

we have $G \subseteq G_U$, and it is enough to prove that G_U is finite. Replace X by X_U and S by U .

Recall that the sheaf i_*A is the same as the sheaf given by $X^\#$. (Remark 2.15) Let $X_0^\#$ be the scheme obtained from X by deleting those components of fibres which don't meet the zero section. $X_0^\#$ yields a subsheaf of $X^\#$, and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_0^\# \rightarrow X^\# \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf supported on the discriminant locus of f . As a sheaf on Δ_{red} , $\mathcal{G}$ is represented in the category of étale schemes over Δ_{red} by

$$\coprod_t \coprod_{t_i} C(t_i) \rightarrow \Delta_{red},$$

in the notation of Proposition 1.13. Here the first disjoint union is over all $t \in \Delta_{red}^{(1)}$, and the second is over the t_i corresponding to multiplicity one components of X_t . $\mathcal{G}$ is then locally constant over Δ_{red} , and thus $H^1(S, \mathcal{G})$ is finite by [16], VI, Cor. 5.5.

Now let G' be the image of $H^1(S, X^\#) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{G})$. We claim that the map $\text{III}_S(A) = H^1(S, X^\#) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{E})$ factors through the map $H^1(S, X^\#) \rightarrow G'$. Indeed, if $s \in H^1(S, X^\#)$, s can be represented as a Čech cocycle on an open covering $\{U_i\}$ by sections $s_{ij} \in X^\#(U_{ij})$, $U_{ij} = U_i \times_S U_j$, with $s_{ij} + s_{jk} - s_{ik} = 0$, where addition is in the group law on A . These sections can also be considered as divisors on X whose restriction to the generic fibre A is degree zero, and then as divisors, $s_{ij} + s_{jk} - s_{ik}$ is a divisor of $X \times_S U_{ijk}$ whose support is contained in $f^{-1}(\Delta)$. The 2-cocycle $(s_{ij} + s_{jk} - s_{ik})_{ijk}$ is then the image of s in $H^2(S, \mathcal{E})$, by construction of the boundary map in Čech cohomology. Now if $s \in H^1(S, X^\#)$ was the image of an element in $H^1(S, X_0^\#)$ then we could write s as a cocycle (s_{ij}) with $s_{ij} \in X_0^\#(U_{ij})$. In this case $s_{ij} + s_{jk} - s_{ik} = 0$ both in the group law and as a sum of divisor classes. Thus the image of s in $H^2(S, \mathcal{E})$ is zero, and the map $\text{III}_S(A) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{E})$ factors through G' . Thus $G \subseteq G'$, and since G' is finite, so is G .

b) By a), the corank of $\text{III}_S(A)$ is the corank of $\text{Br}'(X)/\text{Br}'(S)$. The formula given then follows from [10], II Cor. 3.4 and the fact that $\text{Br}'(S) \rightarrow \text{Br}'(X)$ is injective as f has a section. •

§3. Isolated multiple fibres.

We continue to work with S a variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, or an open subscheme of a strict localization of such.

Our goal in this section is to determine when obstinate multiple fibres might occur. Suppose that $f : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ is a Miranda model for A an elliptic curve over K , and $P \in \bar{S}$ a closed point. Then if $S = \bar{S} - \{P\}$ and $E \in \text{III}_S(A)$, then by Theorem 2.21, a relatively minimal model for E , $f' : \bar{X}' \rightarrow \bar{S}$, has no multiple fibres over S , except possibly over some collision points, and will have a multiple fibre over P , if $E \notin \text{III}_{\bar{S}}(A)$. If $E \notin \text{III}_{\bar{S}}(A)$, then by Theorem 2.21, the multiple fibre must be an obstinate multiple fibre. Thus, we calculate below $\text{III}_S(A)$ when $\bar{S}$ is strictly local. In this case $\text{III}_{\bar{S}}(A) = 0$, so $\text{III}_S(A)$ tells us exactly where isolated obstinate multiple fibres may occur.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ is a flat proper morphism of regular schemes with a regular section with $\bar{S}$ strictly local, of dimension ≥ 2 , with closed point s , and generic fibre A a geometrically regular curve of genus 1. Assume furthermore that for any $t \in \bar{S}^{(1)}$ such that X_t is not geometrically integral, $\overline{\{t\}}$ is normal. Applying the notation of §1 to $f : X \rightarrow S$ with $S = \bar{S} - \{s\}$ and $X = \bar{X} \times_{\bar{S}} S$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{III}_S(A) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^2(S, i_* A) \rightarrow H^3(S, \mathcal{E}).$$

If $\dim S > 1$, then $H^2(S, P_{X/S}) = 0$. If $\dim S = 1$, then $H^3(S, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ and the groups $H^2(S, \mathcal{E})$ and $H^2(S, P_{X/S})$ and the map between them are defined by the commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_t \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_t (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{c(t)} & \rightarrow & H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} & \rightarrow & (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^c & \rightarrow & H^2(S, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the sum is over all $t \in S^{(1)}$ such that X_t is not geometrically integral, $c(t)$ is the number of components of X_t , and c is the number of components of the fibre X_0 over the closed point of $\bar{S}$. The first arrow in the first row is given for a given t by

$$a \mapsto (m_i r_i a),$$

where m_i is the multiplicity of X_t^i and r_i is the ramification of $C(t_i) \rightarrow C(t)$ at s . The first arrow in the second row is given by $a \mapsto (m_i a)$ where m_i is the multiplicity of the i th component of X_0 . The first vertical arrow is summation and the second takes X_t^i to the sum of components of the central fibre of $\bar{X}_t^i \rightarrow C(t_i)$ taken with multiplicities, where $\bar{X}_t^i$ is the normalization of the closure of X_t^i in $\bar{X}$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.3 applied to $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ and $\bar{S} \rightarrow \bar{S}$, we see that $\text{Br}'(\bar{S}) = \text{Br}'(\bar{X}) = 0$. By the purity theorem for the Brauer group [10], pg. 135, we have $\text{Br}'(S) = 0$ and $\text{Br}'(X) = 0$. By Proposition 1.16, using the fact that $\delta_\eta = \delta'_\eta = 1$ we have $\text{III}_S(A) = H^1(S, i_* i^* P_{X/S})$. In addition $H^1(S, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ by Corollary 1.15 and $\mathcal{F} = 0$ by Corollary 1.11. Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{III}_S(A) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^2(S, i_* i^* P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^3(S, \mathcal{E})$$

from exact sequence (4) in Definition 1.8. Also, by the sequence (6) in the proof of Proposition 1.16 and the equality $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{Z}$ since $\delta_\eta = 1$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(S, i_* A) \rightarrow H^2(S, i_* i^* P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}).$$

By purity, $H^1(S, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = H^1(\bar{S}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = 0$, so

$$H^2(S, i_* A) \cong H^2(S, i_* i^* P_{X/S}).$$

Since $X \rightarrow S$ has a section and H^i is a contravariant functor, $H^i(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is injective for all i . By Corollary 1.5 we get

$$H^2(S, P_{X/S}) = H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m)/H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m).$$

Now $H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is torsion, X being regular, and so we compute ${}_n H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$, the kernel of the multiplication by n map, using the Kummer sequence,

$${}_n H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \cong H^3(X, \mu_n),$$

since $H^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0$.

Now $H^i(\bar{X}, \mu_n) = H^i(X_0, \mu_n)$, for all i , where X_0 is the fibre over s , by [16, VI, 2.7] and $H^i(X_0, \mu_n) = 0$, $i > 2$, as X_0 is a curve over an algebraically closed field. Thus

$$H^i(\bar{X}, \mu_n) = 0, i > 2.$$

Now using the purity theorem again, if we let Z be the finite set of singular points of the curve $Y = (X_0)_{red}$, we have

$$\underline{H}_Z^j(\bar{X}, \mu_n) = 0, j \neq 2 \dim \bar{X}$$

and hence, as earlier,

$$0 = H^i(\bar{X}, \mu_n) = H^i(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n), i = 3, 4.$$

Again, by purity,

$$\underline{H}_{Y-Z}^j(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \neq 2(\dim \bar{X} - 1) = 2 \dim \bar{S}, \\ (\mu_n)_{Y-Z}, & \text{if } j = 2 \dim \bar{S}. \end{cases}$$

Using the exact sequence for local cohomology

$$0 = H^3(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mu_n) \rightarrow H_{Y-Z}^4(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^4(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n) = 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(X, \mu_n) &\cong H_{Y-Z}^4(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n) \\ &= H^0(Y - Z, \underline{H}_{Y-Z}^4(X - Z, \mu_n)) \end{aligned}$$

by the spectral sequence

$$H^i(Y - Z, \underline{H}_{Y-Z}^j(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n)) \Rightarrow H_{Y-Z}^{i+j}(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n),$$

and that $\underline{H}_{Y-Z}^j(\bar{X} - Z, \mu_n) = 0$ for $j < 4$. Now we have

$$H^3(X, \mu_n) \cong \begin{cases} H^0(Y - Z, \mu_n), & \text{if } \dim \bar{S} = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $H^0(Y - Z, \mu_n) = (\mu_n)^c$, where c is the number of connected components of $Y - Z$, which is the same as the number of irreducible components of X_0 . So, we get

$$H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m) = H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m)_{tors} = \varinjlim H^0(Y - Z, \mu_n) \cong (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^c,$$

if $\dim \bar{S} = 2$ and 0 otherwise.

If one goes through the same calculation for $H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m)$, one finds

$$H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} & \text{if } \dim \bar{S} = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, if $\dim \bar{S} > 2$, we have

$$H^2(S, P_{X/S}) = 0;$$

if $\dim \bar{S} = 2$, we have

$$H^2(S, P_{X/S}) = \text{coker}(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^c)$$

where the map is given by $a \in \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \mapsto (m_1 a, \dots, m_c a)$, where m_i is the multiplicity of the i th component of Y .

Next, we need to calculate $H^2(S, \mathcal{E})$ and $H^3(S, \mathcal{E})$.

By Corollary 1.14, if $t \in S^{(1)}$, X_t^i the irreducible components of X_t , and $X_t^i \rightarrow t_i \rightarrow t$ the Stein factorization, then

$$H^2(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E}) = \text{coker}(H^1(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n(t)} H^1(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})),$$

where $C(t)$ is the normalization of the closure of t in S and $C(t_i)$ the normalization of $C(t)$ in t_i . Now let $C(t)'$ be the normalization of the closure of $C(t)$ in $\bar{S}$; $C(t)'$ is a strictly local scheme, since the closure of $C(t)$ in $\bar{S}$ is strictly local and geometrically unibranched. ([11] IV 18.8.6).

If $\dim S = 1$, then $\dim C(t)' = 1$ and $C(t) = t$. To compute $H^i(t, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$, $i = 1, 2$, we just apply purity to $C(t)'$, since $C(t)'$ is regular, and $H^i(C(t)', \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = 0$, $i > 0$, so $H^1(t, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$, $H^2(t, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = 0$.

Similarly, letting $C(t_i)'$ be the normalization of $C(t)'$ in t_i , we use the same argument to show $H^1(t_i, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$, $H^2(t_i, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = 0$.

Notice that if $\dim S > 1$, this argument shows that $H^i(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, whenever $C(t)'$ is regular, and $H^j(C(t_i), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, whenever $C(t_i)'$ is regular. •

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{S}$, $f : X \rightarrow S$ be as in Theorem 3.1, with $\dim \bar{S} = 2$, and assume that $f : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ is a Miranda model. Then $\text{III}_S(A) = 0$ unless the closed point $s \in \bar{S}$ is a collision point. If $s \in S$ is a collision point, then*

$$\text{III}_S(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & I_{M_1} + I_{M_2} \\ 0 & I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}^*, M_1 \text{ odd} \\ 0 & II + IV \\ 0 & II + I_0^* \\ 0 & II + IV^* \\ 0 & IV + I_0^* \\ \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} & III + I_0^* \\ \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} & I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}^*, M_1 \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

where $s \in \bar{S}$ is a collision point of the type given.

Proof: The first statement follows immediately from Theorem 3.1. For the others, we'll give one specific calculation: consider the $I_2 + I_0^*$ collision. The central fibre of Miranda's resolution has six components, $f_1 + f_2 + 2f_3 + 2f_4 + f_5 + f_6$; over the I_2 component there are two divisors, C_1 and C_2 whose central fibres are $f_1 + f_2 + 2f_3$ and $2f_4 + f_5 + f_6$ respectively. Over the I_0^* component there are five divisors, $D_1, \dots, D_5$, with central fibres $f_1, f_2, f_3 + f_4, f_5$ and f_6 respectively. Thus we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^2 & \rightarrow & (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^7 & \rightarrow & H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} & \rightarrow & (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^6 & \rightarrow & H^2(S, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the first vertical arrow is summation and the second has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The first map in the first row is given by

$$(a, b) \mapsto (a, a, b, b, 2b, b, b)$$

and the first map of the second row is

$$a \mapsto (a, a, 2a, 2a, a, a).$$

It is then not hard to see that

$$\ker(H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S})) = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z},$$

generated by the class of

$$(1/2 \ 0 \ 1/2 \ 1/2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)$$

in $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^7$.

The other calculations are similar, and we omit them. •

Example 3.3. We can give an example of such an element of the Tate-Shafarevich group $\text{III}_S(A)$ for a collision of type $I_2 + I_0^*$: over $k[[s, t]]$, consider the equation

$$y^2 = s((x-1)^2 - t)(x^2 - t).$$

This has a singular locus consisting of two curves, $s = (x-1)^2 - t = y = 0$ and $s = x^2 - t = y = 0$, each mapping 2-1 to the t -axis. Blowing these up, one obtains a smooth fibration whose central fibre consists of a chain of three $\mathbf{P}^1$'s each with multiplicity 2. This gives an elliptic fibration $X \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } k[[s, t]]$ with an isolated multiple fibre. Now there exists a Miranda model $J \rightarrow S$ of the jacobian of $X \rightarrow S$. Thus, by Theorem 2.21, the isolated multiple fibre cannot be evanescent. It is not locally trivial: it is easy to see that $X \rightarrow S$ does not have a rational section. Thus this is an example of an obstinate isolated multiple fibre.

Remark 3.4. Nakayama calculates some similar groups in [21]. There, in the local situation, he removes the coordinate axes from the base and computes the analytic analog of the Tate-Shafarevich group, using the monodromy of the elliptic fibration. In some cases, this gives exactly the same results as above; in some cases this will give a bigger group, since there might be multiple fibres away from the origin also. Thus he also obtains the results of Theorem 4.2 below. The advantage of his method is that it extends to any dimension, as one does not need a model of the fibration; the disadvantage is that it only works in the local situation.

Remark 3.5. Finally, we wish to summarize what we know about isolated multiple fibres when S is a surface. If $j : J \rightarrow S$ is a Miranda model for A , and $f : X \rightarrow S$ a relatively minimal model for $X_\eta \in WC(A)$, then f has no evanescent multiple fibres, and it has two possible types of isolated multiple fibres. They may be locally trivial, which only happens over collision points of j of type $IV + I_0^*$, by Remark 2.22, or they may be obstinate, which only happens over collision points of j of type $I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}^*$, M_1 even, and $III + I_0^*$, by Corollary 3.2. Thus, if $f : X \rightarrow S$ only has isolated multiple fibres, then $X_\eta \in \text{III}_S(A)$ if the multiple fibres are only over collision points of type $IV + I_0^*$. There is no difficulty in distinguishing between obstinate and locally trivial multiple fibres.

§4. Obstructions to multiple fibres along curves.

In this section, we assume that S is a regular surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, or an open subscheme of a strict localization of such a surface.

Given any closed subset $Z \subseteq S$, we would like to calculate the cokernel of the natural injection

$$0 \rightarrow \text{III}_S(A) \rightarrow \text{III}_{S-Z}(A).$$

Recall that if $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a Miranda model for A , and $E \in \text{III}_{S-Z}(A)$, but $E \notin \text{III}_S(A)$, then a relatively minimal model for E over S has multiple fibres over some subset of Z . Thus this cokernel will classify fibrations with jacobian A such that all non-locally trivial multiple fibres occur only over points of Z . In this paper, we shall give calculations in some simple cases.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be an excellent regular scheme over a field of characteristic zero, Y be a closed regular subscheme of codimension 1 at each point, $Y_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ its irreducible components, $U = X - Y$. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^2(U, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H^1(Y_i, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^3(U, \mathbf{G}_m) \\ \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H^2(Y_i, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbf{G}_m). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is [10], III, Cor.6.2, although Grothendieck forgets to mention the hypothesis that Y must be regular. One uses the exact sequence of local cohomology

$$H_Y^i(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H_Y^{i+1}(X, \mathbf{G}_m),$$

the “local to global” spectral sequence

$$H^i(Y, \underline{H}_Y^j(\mathbf{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H_Y^{i+j}(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$$

and the local calculations $\underline{H}_Y^i(X, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$ and

$$\underline{H}_Y^1(\mathbf{G}_m) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{Y_i}.$$

Here, the requirement that Y be non-singular is used for

$$\underline{H}_Y^i(X, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0, i \geq 3,$$

([10], III Theorem 6.1) as we use the usual cohomological purity to prove this. •

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\bar{S}$ be strictly local of dimension 2, with $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ a Miranda model with a section with discriminant locus Δ a smooth curve (or empty), and let C be a curve intersecting Δ transversally at the closed point of $\bar{S}$. Then $\text{III}_{\bar{S}-C}(A)$ depends only on the fibre type of the fibres over Δ , and is given by*

$$\text{III}_{\bar{S}-C}(A) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2} & I_0 \\ (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \oplus D & I_m, m \geq 1, \\ D & \text{in all other cases,} \end{cases}$$

where $D = \text{Discr}(M/\text{rad}(M))$ where M is the sublattice of $\text{Pic}(f^{-1}(C))$ generated by the reducible fibre. Here $\text{rad}(M)$ is the kernel of the natural map $i_M : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathbf{Z})$ induced by the intersection pairing on $f^{-1}(C)$, and $\text{Discr}(L) = \text{coker}(i_L : L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(L, \mathbf{Z}))$.

Proof: Put $S = \bar{S} - C$, $X = \bar{X} \times_{\bar{S}} S$. By Proposition 1.3, we have $H^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{G}_m) = H^i(\bar{S}, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0$, $i \geq 2$. Also, because Δ and C meet transversally, $f^{-1}(C)$ is smooth, and we can apply Lemma 4.1 to get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Br}'(S) & \cong & H^1(C, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Br}'(X) & \cong & H^1(f^{-1}(C), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \end{array}$$

Since C is also strictly local, $H^i(C, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = 0$, and $H^i(f^{-1}(C), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) = H^i(X_0, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$, $i = 1, 2$, where X_0 is the fibre over the closed point of $\bar{S}$. Thus by Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m) &= 0, \\ \text{Br}'(X) &\cong H^1(X_0, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \cong (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^r, \end{aligned}$$

with $r = 2, 1$ or 0 depending on whether X_0 is smooth, multiplicative (i.e. of type I_m with $m \geq 1$), or additive (i.e. any other singular fibre type). (See [4], page 290.) Thus by Proposition 1.16, $H^1(S, P_{X/S}) = (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^r$, and if in addition all fibres of f are irreducible, then $H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) = 0$, and we get $H^1(S, P_{X/S}) = \text{III}_S(A)$, in which case we are done. If the fibres are not irreducible, we must calculate $\ker(H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S}))$.

By Corollary 1.5, we have an exact sequence

$$0 = H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow \ker(H^4(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbf{G}_m)).$$

Since f has a section, $\ker(H^4(S, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbf{G}_m)) = 0$. Now by Lemma 4.1,

$$H^2(S, P_{X/S}) \cong H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \cong H^2(X_0, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \cong (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^c,$$

where c is the number of irreducible components of X_0 .

Next we calculate $H^2(S, \mathcal{E})$. We take t to be the generic point of Δ . Then $H^2(S, \mathcal{E})$ is the cokernel of the map

$$\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \cong H^1(t, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^c H^1(t_i, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \cong (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^c,$$

where t_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are the usual field extension. (In this case, in fact, $t_i = t$.) The map is given by multiplication by sending 1 to $(d_1 m_1, \dots, d_n m_n)$ where $d_i = [t_i : t]$, m_i is the multiplicity of the component.

The map $H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S})$ is then given by the intersection map $X_t^i \mapsto (X_0^j \cdot X_t^i)$, where X_0^j is the j th component of X_0 . Indeed, an element of $H^1(\tilde{t}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$ corresponds to a divisor with support on the fibre X_0 , and we then restrict to that fibre.

It is not difficult to see now that the kernel of this map is the desired group, D . Indeed, $H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) = (M/\text{rad}(M)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$, and if we identify $H^2(S, P_{X/S})$ with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^c$, the map $H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^2(S, P_{X/S})$ is then induced by the map

$$M/\text{rad}(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M/\text{rad}(M), \mathbf{Z}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathbf{Z})$$

induced by the intersection pairing. The claim then follows by the snake lemma applied to the diagram of exact sequences with $L = M/\text{rad}(M)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & L \otimes \mathbf{Q} & \longrightarrow & L \otimes \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(L, \mathbf{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(L, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(L, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Thus, in the case that the fibre type is additive, we see that $\text{III}_S(A) = D$, and if it is multiplicative, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \text{III}_{S-C}(A) \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0.$$

•

Remark 4.3. The value of D is well-known: (see, for example, [4], Prop. 5.2.4 (iii) and Cor 5.2.3)

$$D = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} & I_n, \\ \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z} & I_{2n+1}^*, \\ \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} & I_{2n}^*, \\ \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z} & IV, IV^*, \\ \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} & III, III^*, \\ 0 & II, II^*. \end{cases}$$

We now consider the global case.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $C \subseteq S$ be a non-singular, complete curve, not necessarily irreducible, no component of C contained in Δ , and each component of C intersecting Δ transversally, and suppose $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a Miranda model. (In this case $f^{-1}(C)$ will be smooth.) If no component Y of $f^{-1}(C)$ is trivial (i.e. $f|_Y : Y \rightarrow C' \subseteq C$ a trivial fibration,) then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{III}_S(A) \rightarrow \text{III}_U(A) \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

where $U = S - C$ and K is a subgroup of the group

$$\bigoplus_{t \in U^{(1)}} \frac{\ker(H^0(q_t^{-1}(C \cap C(t)), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\bar{C}(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}))}{\ker(H^0(C \cap C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}))},$$

where $q_t : \bar{C}(t) \rightarrow C(t)$ is the map from §1, and all other maps are the natural ones.

Proof: As before, we calculate $\text{III}_U(A)$. By Lemma 4.1 we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Br}'(S) & \rightarrow & \text{Br}'(U) & \rightarrow & H^1(C, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Br}'(X) & \rightarrow & \text{Br}'(X - f^{-1}(C)) & \rightarrow & H^1(f^{-1}(C), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & H^1(S, P_{X/S}) & \rightarrow & H^1(U, P_{X/S}) & \rightarrow & \text{coker}(f^*) \end{array}$$

We claim that $\text{coker}(f^*)$ is zero. Indeed, this follows from [4], Cor. 5.2.2, using the fact that no component of $f^{-1}(C)$ is trivial. So we have a surjection $H^1(S, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow H^1(U, P_{X/S}) \rightarrow 0$. This gives a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^1(S, P_{X/S}) & \rightarrow & \text{III}_S(A) & \rightarrow & \frac{H^3(X, \mathbf{G}_m)}{H^3(S, \mathbf{G}_m)} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^1(U, P_{X/S}) & \rightarrow & \text{III}_U(A) & \rightarrow & \frac{H^3(X - f^{-1}(C), \mathbf{G}_m)}{H^3(U, \mathbf{G}_m)} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & \rightarrow & K_1 & \rightarrow & K_2 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

where K_1 is what we are trying to calculate. Let us calculate K_2 .

We have

$$K_2 \cong \bigoplus_{t \in U^{(1)}} \frac{H^2(U, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E})}{H^2(S, i_{t*} i_t^* \mathcal{E})}.$$

Let $t \in U^{(1)}$. Then

$$H^2(S, i_{t*}i_t^*\mathcal{E}) = \text{coker}(H^1(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{C}(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})),$$

and

$$H^2(U, i_{t*}i_t^*\mathcal{E}) = \text{coker}(H^1(C(t) - C \cap C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{C}(t) - q_t^{-1}(C \cap C(t)), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})),$$

where $q_t : \bar{C}(t) \rightarrow C(t)$ is the natural projection.

Now by the exact sequence for local cohomology of the pair $(C(t), C(t) \cap C)$ and the constant sheaf $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$, we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) &\rightarrow H^1(C(t) - C(t) \cap C, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(C(t) \cap C, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(C(t), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(C(t) - C(t) \cap C, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is zero as long as $C(t) \cap C \neq \emptyset$. From this and the corresponding exact sequence for $\bar{C}(t)$, we obtain that K_2 takes the form of the group given in the theorem. •

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that $f : X \rightarrow S$ has no reducible fibres. Then for any non-singular curve $C \subseteq S$ which intersects Δ transversally and such that each component of C intersects Δ ,*

$$\text{III}_S(A) \cong \text{III}_{S-C}(A).$$

In particular, there is no torsor of A which has multiple fibres over the generic point of C and isolated multiple fibres outside of C .

Proof: This follows immediately from Theorem 4.4, as the fact that all fibres are irreducible implies that K is zero. •

§5. An Application.

Here we shall exhibit some elliptic threefolds that are counter-examples to the Lüroth problem in dimension 3.

Example 5.1. Consider the elliptic threefold $f : X \rightarrow S$ of Example 1.18. We can realize the Jacobian A of X_η as the general fibre of a minimal Weierstrass model $j : J \rightarrow S$. If the net chosen is general, then the discriminant curve Δ of f is a curve of degree 12 with 24 cusps and 21 nodes. This is a classically known result, which can be found in, for example, [6], page 7. Over the general point of Δ the fibre is of type I_1 and over the cusps it is of type II . By Proposition 2.17, Δ is the discriminant curve of j . The Weierstrass model will only be singular over the nodes, and as these are collisions of type $I_1 + I_1$, there is no reason to expect there to be a small resolution. But if we blow up these 21 points,

we obtain a Weierstrass model over S' which has a flat regular resolution by Theorem 2.8, $j' : J' \rightarrow S'$, and over the 21 exceptional curves E_i , we have fibres of type I_2 . The discriminant curve of j' is the total transform Δ' of Δ under the blow-up $S' \rightarrow S$. The fibres over the ordinary double points of Δ' are of type I_3 . We use the notation from §1. The curves $C(t)$ corresponding to the irreducible components E_i of Δ' are isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1$. It is clear that $j'^{-1}(E_i)$ is the union of two irreducible surfaces, hence $n(t) = 2$ and each $C(t_i) \cong C(t)$, $i = 1, 2$. Applying Corollary 1.14, we infer that $H^2(S, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. By Proposition 1.16 applied to $j' : J' \rightarrow S'$, we get

$$\mathrm{Br}'(J') \cong \mathrm{III}_{S'}(A).$$

Now, by Proposition 2.18, $\mathrm{III}_S(A) \subseteq \mathrm{III}_{S'}(A)$, so $\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathrm{Br}'(J')$, by Example 1.18. In fact, this is an equality, for it is easy to see that there are no evanescent multiple fibres at collisions of type $I_1 + I_1$ using Theorem 3.1. Thus $\mathrm{Br}'(J') \neq 0$ and hence J' is not a rational variety, as Br' is a birational invariant ([10], III 7.3) and $\mathrm{Br}'(\mathbf{P}^3) = 0$. Now we claim that J (and hence J') is a unirational variety. To prove this it suffices to construct a cover $T \rightarrow S$ such that $X_T = X \times_S T$ is a rational variety and the projection $f_T : X_T \rightarrow T$ has a rational section. Then the generic fibres of f_T and of $j_T : J \times_S T \rightarrow T$ are isomorphic elliptic curves, hence $J \times_S T$ is rational, and the projection $J \times_S T \rightarrow J$ gives the required birational cover of J .

Let us construct the cover $T \rightarrow S$. Recall that S is identified with the net of plane cubic curves. For any $s \in S$, let F_s be the corresponding cubic. Fix a line l on $\mathbf{P}^2$. Let $T = \{(s, y) \in S \times l \mid y \in F_s\}$ and $T \rightarrow S$ be the first projection. This is a finite cover of degree 3. Now $X_T = \{(x, s, y) \in \mathbf{P}^2 \times S \times l \mid x, y \in F_s\}$. The projection $X_T \rightarrow T$, $(x, s, y) \mapsto (s, y)$, has its fibre over a point $(s, y) \in T$ isomorphic to F_s , and the map $(s, y) \mapsto (y, s, y)$ is a section. Finally the projection $X_T \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2 \times l$, $(x, s, y) \mapsto (x, y)$, is a birational map, since there is only one cubic from the net passing through a generic point (x, y) of $\mathbf{P}^2 \times l$. Thus X_T is a rational variety and we are done.

Thus we obtain that the jacobian fibration of a rational elliptic threefold could be non-rational. Note that this does not happen for elliptic surfaces: see [4], Prop. 5.6.1.

Remark 5.2. One should compare our result with the following result of M. Van den Bergh [26]: Let $X \rightarrow |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(3)|$ be the universal family for the space of all plane cubics over $\mathbf{C}$, and A be the jacobian of its general fibre. Then its field of rational functions over k is a purely transcendental extension of $\mathbf{C}$.

The following example is similar to the previous one and was suggested to us by R. Miranda.

Remark 5.3. Let Q be a non-singular quadric surface in $\mathbf{P}^3$ and S a general net of elliptic curves of bidegree $(2, 2)$ on Q . Similarly to Example 5.1, we consider the universal

family $f : X \rightarrow S$. This is an elliptic fibration with no multiple fibres, and with irreducible discriminant curve of degree 12 with 24 cusps and 22 nodes. An argument similar to the one used in Example 1.18 shows that f has no rational sections. It has a 2-section defined by fixing a line on Q . Let A be the jacobian variety of the generic fibre of f and $f' : J' \rightarrow S'$ be its Miranda model. As in Example 5.1, we show that $\text{Br}'(J') \cong \text{III}_{S'}(A) \supseteq \text{III}_S(A) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. The unirationality of J' is proven by modifying the argument from Example 5.1.

Remark 5.4 As was pointed out to us by A. Verra, the variety J which is the jacobian of $f : X \rightarrow S$ from the previous example is birationally isomorphic to the double solid ramified along a quartic symmetroid, a counterexample to the Lüroth problem from [2]. Recall that the latter is defined as a non-singular model of the double cover $\pi : Y \rightarrow W$, where W is a general web of quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^3$ and the branch divisor is the quartic surface of singular quadrics. If we fix a point $p \in W$ representing a nonsingular quadric Q , the composition of $Y \rightarrow W$ and the projection from W to $\mathbf{P}^2$ from the point p defines a rational map whose fibres are elliptic curves. After blowing up the two pre-images of p in Y , we obtain an elliptic fibration $g : Y' \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ with two sections. Its fibre over a point x representing a pencil l_x of quadrics $Q + \lambda Q'$ is the double cover of l_x branched at the four points represented by the four singular quadrics in the pencil. It is well-known that this elliptic curve is isomorphic to the base curve of the pencil $Q \cap Q'$. Now the web W cuts out on Q a general net S of elliptic curves of bidegree $(2, 2)$ which we may identify with the base of g , so that we obtain that the non-singular fibres of g are isomorphic to the non-singular fibres of the elliptic fibration $f : X \rightarrow S$ from Example 5.3. Now the assertion follows from:

Lemma 5.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $f' : X' \rightarrow S$ be two elliptic fibrations. Assume there is an open non-empty subset U such that the fibres of f and f' over closed points of U are isomorphic. Then the Jacobian varieties of the general fibres of f and g are isomorphic.*

Proof: Let K' be a finite extension of $K = K(S)$ such that both general fibres X_η and X'_η acquire a rational point. Let $T \rightarrow U$ be an étale map with $K(T) = K'$. Then the base changes $f_T : X_T \rightarrow T$ and $f'_T : X'_T \rightarrow T$ have isomorphic fibres and both possess rational sections which we can make regular sections after shrinking T . We may also assume that all fibres are smooth. Then we may identify the fibrations f_T and f'_T with their minimal Weierstrass fibrations. Their absolute invariant functions coincide on the set of closed points of T , and hence coincide at the generic point. This shows that the generic fibres of f_T and f'_T are isomorphic. Therefore $X_\eta \times_K K' \cong X'_\eta \times_K K'$ so that X_η and $X_{\eta'}$ are torsors over the same abelian variety. •

Remark 5.6. Using the previous Lemma we can easily verify that the variety J from Example 5.1 is birationally isomorphic to a minimal Weierstrass fibration $W(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1), a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$, where a and b are defined as follows. Let S and T be the quartic and sextic invariants

of ternary forms in 3 variables as described for example in [24]. Restricting S and T to the net of cubics defining our example, we obtain homogeneous polynomials a' and b' in 3 variables of degree 4 and 6 respectively. Then we set $a = 2\sqrt{2}a'$, $b = b'/3\sqrt{3}$. Note that the variety J does not have any structure of a conic bundle so that the standard techniques of proving non-rationality do not apply. Indeed, conic bundles over rational surfaces have only 2-torsion in their Brauer group ([27], Theorem 2).

Bibliography

- [1] Artin, M., “Algebraic Approximation of Structures over Complete Local Rings,” *Publ. Math. IHES*, **36**, (1969), 23-58.
- [2] Artin, M., Mumford, D., “Some Elementary Examples of Unirational Varieties Which Are Not Rational,” *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **25**, (1972) 75–95.
- [3] Bosch, S., Lütkebohmert, W., Raynaud, M., *Néron Models*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, series 3, vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, (1990).
- [4] Cossec, F., and Dolgachev, I., *Enriques Surfaces I*, Birkhäuser, Boston, (1989).
- [5] Deligne, P., “Courbes Elliptiques: Formulaire d’après J. Tate,” in *Modular Functions in One Variable IV*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 476, Springer-Verlag, 1975, pg. 53-74.
- [6] Dolgachev, I., and Libgober, A., “On the Fundamental Group of the Complement to a Discriminant Variety,” in *Algebraic Geometry*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, v. 862, Springer-Verlag, (1981), 1-25.
- [7] Gabber, O., “Some Theorems on Azumaya Algebras,” in *Groupe de Brauer*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, v. 844, Springer-Verlag, (1981) pg. 129-209.
- [8] Grassi, A., “On Minimal Models of Elliptic Threefolds,” *Math. Ann.* **290**, (1991) 287-301.
- [9] Gross, M., “Elliptic Threefolds II: Multiple Fibres,” MSRI preprint, (1992).
- [10] Grothendieck, A., “Le Groupe de Brauer, I, II, III,” In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, 46-188.
- [11] Grothendieck, A., and Dieudonné, J., *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, *Publ. Math. IHES*, **32**, (1967).
- [12] Kawamata, Y., “Crepant Blowing-up of 3-dimensional Canonical Singularities,” *Ann. Math.* **127**, (1988), 93-163.
- [13] Kawamata, Y., Matsuda, K., Matsuki, K., “Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem,” *Proc. Sympos. Algebraic Geom.*, Sendai, 1985. *Advances in Pure Math.* **10** (1987) 283-360.
- [14] Kodaira, K., “On Compact Complex Analytic Surfaces,” I, *Ann. Math.* **71** (1960),

- 111-152; II, *Ann. Math.* **77** (1963), 563-626; III, *Ann. Math.* **78** (1963), 1-40.
- [15] Kollár, J., “Flops,” *Nagoya Math. J.*, **113**, (1989), 15-36.
 - [16] Milne, J. *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton Univ. Press, (1980).
 - [17] Miranda, R., “Smooth Models for Elliptic Threefolds,” in *Birational Geometry of Degenerations*, Birkhäuser, (1983) 85-133.
 - [18] Mori, S., “Flip Theorem and the Existence of Minimal Models for 3-folds,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1**, (1988), 117-253.
 - [19] Mumford, D., and Suominen, K., “Introduction to the Theory of Moduli,” in *Algebraic Geometry, Oslo 1970*, Wolters-Noordhoff Press, (1972) 171-222.
 - [20] Nakayama, N., “On Weierstrass Models,” *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata*, 405–431, (1987).
 - [21] Nakayama, N., “Local Structure of an Elliptic Fibration,” Preprint, 1991, Univ. of Tokyo.
 - [22] Ogg, A., “Cohomology of Abelian Varieties over Function Fields,” *Ann. Math.*, **76**, 185–212, (1962).
 - [23] Raynaud, M., “Caractéristique d’Euler-Poincaré d’un Faisceau et Cohomologie des Variétés Abéliennes.” In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, 12-30.
 - [24] Salmon, G., *A Treatise on the Higher Plane Curves*, Third edition, Chelsea Publ. Company.
 - [25] Shafarevich, I., “Principal Homogeneous Spaces over Function Fields,” *AMS Translations*, **37**, 85–113, (1964).
 - [26] Van den Bergh, M., “The Center of the Generic Division Algebra,” *J. Algebra*, **127** (1989) 106-126.
 - [27] Zagorski, A., “On Three Dimensional Conic Bundles,” *Mat. Zametki*, **21**, (1977), 745-758, (English Translation, *Mat. Notes*, **21**, (1977), 420-427).